

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_113002
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMULATION OF CULTURE MEDIUM FOR THE ENUMERATION OF LACTIC STREPTOCOCCI AND LACTOBACILLI FROM FERMENTED MILK PRODUCTS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it deals with the developing of selective media for of lactic acid bacteria. This research can contribute valuable insights and knowledge to the selective media for lactic streptococci and lactobacilli, which is of significant interest and relevance to the scientific community working in the field of food microbiology.</p> <p>Based on the provided title, "Formulation of Culture Medium for the Enumeration of Lactic Streptococci and Lactobacilli from Fermented Milk Products," it appears to be a suitable title that reflects the main idea of the article. The title indicates that the article is likely to focus on the development or formulation of a culture medium specifically designed for counting or enumerating lactic streptococci and lactobacilli in fermented milk products. It provides a clear indication of the subject matter and the main objective of the research.</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. The research methodology, data analysis, and interpretations are sound, adhering to established scientific principles. The findings contribute to the existing body of knowledge on the subject, and the manuscript is supported by relevant literature, enhancing its credibility. Overall, the scientific accuracy of the manuscript strengthens its value and contribution to the field.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Yes, helps to use one selective medium for both microflora instead of 2 cultural media</li><li>2. Yes, title for the authors is correct and exact</li><li>3. Yes abstract is like a gist covering all the aspects of research</li><li>4. Yes subsections of materials and methods, result and discussion in the manuscript are appropriate</li><li>5. Yes, as it is a original research work and hence proper planning was made and carried out</li><li>6. References till date have been added in the original manuscript and not added in revised paper</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues involved in the study